

Medical Undergraduate Society

Council Meeting minutes - January 7th 2019; 7:00 PM

| Date | MSAC | IMP | NMP | SMP | Call-In # 1-877-792-2770 |
|------------|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Jan 7 2019 | VC Room | RJH 246 MSB 107 VGH 1908 | UHNBC 5011 NHSC 9-374 | KGH 228 RHS 227 | 30215 |

Preparation

Review Robert's Rules [here](#).

Add/update/verify your contact information [here](#).

Minutes

Present: Dr. Lui, Laura Kim, Monica Hsieh, Jessica Wang, Jennifer Ling, Maichael Thejoe, Maya Rosenkrantz, John, Zach Sagorin, Isaac Rodin, Monica Hsieh

VC: Jas Hans, Billy Zhao, Sandra (Year II Indigenous Health Rep), Jennifer Brazeau, Willow Thickson, Colin, Stephen, Cirisse, Privia, Chelsea Monell, Kala Draney

Regrets:

| title | proposer | time |
|--|---|------|
| Approval of Agenda | Mover: Laura Second: Isaac In favour: all | 7:09 |
| Approval of Previous Minutes https://docs.google.com/document/d/1iHFev58n_7Gsa8-7qY_GymB_K7B4V1-2ZTb0cM7e_IWA/edit | Mover: Maichael Second: Maya In favour: all | 7:09 |

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <p>Remarks from the Chair</p> <p>Attendance is dwindling - please encourage fellow classmates to come to the meeting. If you cannot come please tell Isaac, Monica, or John</p> <p>Update on the Indigenous health rep role-we finalized the representative roles and we will reword the roles on the constitution with Willow.</p> <p>Update on the 8 week elective cap It still needs to be passed at the UGMEC to be able to be implemented into the UBC curriculum. But it looks like it will be passed</p> | <p>John Liu (President)</p> | |
| <p>Accreditation Updates</p> <p>We would like to provide a short update on the mock accreditation feedback we received, based on the two student meetings we helped organize last November. Overall, the faculty response is that student participation was well received, and that we are ready for the actual CACMS accreditation of our medical program this Feb. 3-5th. A student survey critically appraising our program appears to not to be necessary.</p> <p>Background</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UBC is undergoing accreditation in the first week of February. It is a quality insurance which makes sure institution meets standards for policies and performance - Last went through in 2016 - LCME and CACMS are the accrediting bodies - UBC was granted accreditation in February 2016 with follow up due for February 2019 - In November asked to get a group of students from ½ and ¾ for mock. Both student government and “grassroots” <p>Feedback</p> | <p>Stephen and Cirrise</p> | <p>10 mins anticipated</p> |

- Mocks went well - got candid feedback about what the UBC medical problem was like
- Students were candid about areas of improvement like lectures, tests, absences, etc

The true accreditation is happening in February. Broader implication for students not in limited site surveys is there will be people roaming around UBC and DHCC and will ask questions. What I'm looking for is your feedback on programmatic experience.

Stephen:

- We're told if we don't know the answers it's fine.
- 2/10 were student leaders and that is the right amount
- Cirisse and Stephen do not have to be spokespeople for the program
- Year 3/4 meeting will be Monday February 4th at lunch: 1145 AM to 1PM at DHCC
- Year 1/2 meeting will be Tuesday February 5th at 915-1015 at DHCC
- Looking for year 3 and 4 substitutes who are near DHCC that they can immediately call incase things happen
- Session is call protected for year III students

Dr. Lui

- Student input is crucial to accreditation
- Its totally acceptable to say "I don't know the answer to that question" but sounds good to say "I would know how to find the answer". Want to see there is a process in place and you know where to go.

Internal Updates (Career Night and Med Ball)

Update on Careers Night - February 21st (Thursday Night)
 For the first years, the careers night is where the residency program directors come to MSAC and table. Students can come and chat to people who have gone through residency or program directors. There will be an option to VC in from

Maya Rosenkrantz (VP Internal)

2 mins anticipated

distributed sites for this event. They will get an opportunity to have a Q&A session through VC.

Update on MedBall- March 2nd or 10th

Isaac- In terms of Medball, we were trying to do something different this year (a lower cost alternative to costly hotel), so we sent out a survey. The results of the survey was a 50/50 split. In retrospective, we should have included a question to ask if students did not get their first choice would they still attend? We are most likely going to book it at the Coast hotel which will be less expensive than Sheraton. We are meeting on Wednesday to book the venue.

There are usually faculty awards at MedBall. This year, we are trying to have student awards this year to start new traditions and incentivize people to come more. We are unsure who the selection committee would be at this point. I have an idea to do CanMEDs roles (scholar, leader, health advocate) for awards. People will submit application and the council will blind them and vote on each application. To summarize, we are working on the venue and potentially implementing awards.

Zach- We are applying through the AMS to use Showpass instead of Eventbrite because the money will go directly to us rather than a third party. Showpass will work for any clubs running larger events.

Maichael- I think blinding the applications for the awards will work. The only other suggestion would be to limit the length of the application (short statement or 2-3 paragraphs point form etc.). Applicants should be wary of identifying themselves in their application. Being transparent around the process of selecting for these awards will be important.

Isaac- I agree that blinding the application and making it clear for applicants to blind their application will make it more transparent.

Willow- I think it will be impossible to blind if we were looking at CanMEDs competencies. The applications may turn out to be very vague. I appreciate the idea of student awards but I am hesitant because the awards will tend to go towards the boisterous and outgoing students. The more outgoing students are more likely to apply for these awards.

Maya- I agree with Willow that it will be hard to blind and it will be easy to decipher if the applicant is one of our friends. It may be better for the MUS council to narrow down the applicants and then have a faculty member choose the final recipient.

Laura- I agree that the population of applicants will be very different between self-nomination vs peer nomination. The Medical society has agreed to fund a small monetary prize for a leadership award. This will be through peer nomination and the MUS council will be looking at the applications. AMMA will be selecting the final recipient.

Dr. Lui- Awards are very tricky because for every one person that you make happy you will make ten people unhappy. We need to look at what the purpose of these student awards is because it may not be worth it if it is just to boost attendance.

Colin- We need to be clear and transparent on how we are selecting these awards. I wonder if there are other ways to recognize students in the class aside from the CanMEDs roles.

Maya- We do not have to do the student awards, it is just an idea at this point. The second thing is that we were discussing what we would like to see MedBall become. It is to celebrate student achievements and not to be an exclusive event for only certain students to come. Part of what does that is the Laura Taylor award which is awarded to a student that promotes student wellness and peer well-being. Another option is to an advocacy award from the

MUS to add another student award. It is kind of what the MUS stands for.

Jas- I like the idea of student awards and we should recognize students for going above and beyond. Is there a framework that we can build on from other schools? I'll ask at the next CFMS conference.

Dr. Lui- Are there activities that are team based where we can recognize the group instead of one individual?

Maya- We do have Club of the Year at this point, but we can definitely look into other club awards.

John- We should create awards that are more unique than the CanMEDs roles because many students in the class can fulfill the criteria.

Clothing Store Announcement

The MUS clothing store is back up and running. I sent an email and posted on all the facebook pages regarding this. We brought back all the options last year along with the new design that was created by a second year student. Last year, we provided free shipping to the sites through bulk order. We are achieving the same effect this year by extending 10% off for all orders this year. This year, we are extending sales to UBC alumni, residents, faculty etc. We would donate part of the funds to HopeAir when we get enough funds.

Just to clarify, the clothing store will be open year round but the discount is only for a month. Something I am working on with Helen is to bring the bookstore on to provide intramural gear as well. The current company is not very reliable. This also means that legacy colours will be available. Hopefully it will be ready by spring of this year.

Zach- This clarifies why we got a cheque from the library a while ago.

Jessica- Are orders being filled in on a rolling basis?

Maichael
Thejoe
(Year III Class
President)

7:44

| | | |
|--|----------|------|
| <p>Maichael- The bookstore does not sit on orders, they start the orders along with orders coming in.</p> <p>Jessica- How long is the delivery time for the orders?</p> <p>Maichael- They do 4-6 weeks for delivery. Part of why we go to the bookstore is because other companies require a 300-400 minimum order. The benefit with the bookstore is that we do not have a minimum.</p> | | |
| <p>Update from Willow</p> <p>Every Indigenous Health Rep is sorted and we have a series of events coming up with Indigenous physicians and people working with indigenous health.</p> <p>Last year as National officer of Indigenous Health I worked with Indigenous Health in the medical curriculum. Continuing doing that work as the VP Indigenous Health and recently have been nominated to participate in a steering committee for the PGME to include Indigenous health in standardized format in all residency training. In the following months will be meeting once a month to design whatever this will look like for residencies. Interesting and unique opportunity for someone from UBC to be representing (seven people, 3 are Deans and 2 are other Indigenous physicians).</p> <p>Zach to follow up with Willow via finances</p> <p>Zach - way its been set up is that global health and indigenous health were under one budget but maybe would make sense to split that up</p> <p>Can find any contact information in the MUS directory</p> | Willow | 7:50 |
| <p>External Updates</p> <p>Doctors of BC funding is out for student initiative grant - if club requested funds should receive email shortly</p> | Jas Hans | 7:55 |

Also leftover money from DocofBC event so we have 1000 dollars to spend on something we think is worth it.

Update from John

So far involved is John, Billy, Maichael Thejoel, Reem, Devon We started a group and we are thinking of writing a paper in time for the next GM regarding the return of service on dermatology residency spots. Let me know if you want to get involved in this or if you have any questions.

Dr. Lui- To what extent is the post graduate Dean aware of the MUS' position on this? We do not want the PGME Dean to be caught blindsided regarding this issue.

John - To my understanding, it has been communicated via Dr. Holmes but we have not made actual contact ourselves with the Dean.

Dr. Lui - that is person in direct contact with MoH. Not want MUS message to be filtered and not see downside to directly communicating with person closest to the Ministry on this issue. Dont see repercussions to individuals if come as representatives from study body

Stephen -Are students who want to go into Dermatology aware of this?

Laura- Right now, when you are applying for Carms there are two residency programs. One with the return of service and one without the return of service option. I know fourth year students applying are aware of this, but I am unsure if it has been communicated to other years.

John- There was no heads-up for the applicants that there will be two options in Dermatology. Therefore, we were sort of blindsided in terms of this. It is not widely advertised around to med students throughout the years.

John Liu

7:57

Laura- I'd like to highlight that in Canada, return of service programs have very poor retention rates. Many people stay for the two year requirement and then leave immediately or they buy out to leave.

Jessica- For the paper, do we have any 4th year students on it? Would it be a good idea to have them on there after or before match day.

Maichael- Can have fourth year students consulted and not necessarily on the paper.

Privia - Our obby Day is March 4th so very timely to use this politically. Also in terms of getting PGME involved that is very doable, we had a conversation with the Associate Dean who sits on the committee for residency spot allocations

John - because ROS thing os more "will this work in the long run" its less involved with the match day because that is for advocating for unmatched students. So might be better to not time ROS thing with match day.

Maya - but they are not adding new spots instead turning them into less flexible ones

Dr. Lui- There are two directions to go- either public or private. For public, it is hard to control how the media turns it to be. If the students communicate with the Associate Dean, it may pan out better.

Maichael- We may have to assess and understand the motivation behind the return of service. This may pan out as medical students campaigning to not work in underserved communities even though they are on taxpayer's roll.

John- It will be important on how we frame it so we can prevent it from coming off as what Maichael mentioned.

Cirisse - would be very important to make sure we have a significant Northern voice for the paper. Both Terrace and

PG are desirable places to live but the issue is more about flexibility and lack of freedom with choice

Motion to Adjourn

Moved: Laura

Seconded: Zach

In favor: all